IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In resopplication of: Bonnie M. Davis

Mai No.: 10/099,858

Art Unit.:

1617

Filed: March 14, 2002

Examiner:

Renee Claytor

For:

USE OF MODULATORS OF NICOTINIC RECEPTORS FOR TREATMENT OF

COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION

255.00 OP

01 FC:2401

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE PRIMARY EXAMINER TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES (37 C.F.R. 1.191)

NOTE:	An appeal may be based on one rejection in a prior app of Oct. 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 53167.	lication and one rejection in a continuing application. Notice
NOTE:	There is no requirement for a notice of appeal to: (1) be claims. Notice of Oct, 10, 1997, 62 F.R. 53131, at 531	signed (see, 37 C.F.R. 41.31(3)(b)) or (2) identify the appealed 67.
[x] <u>Augus</u>	Applicant hereby appeals to the Board from st 8, 2007, rejecting claims 1, 3, 4 and	the decision of the Primary Examiner, mailed 138 for at least the second time.
NOTE:	In an ex parte reexamination filed after November 29, claims. MPEP § 2273 (8th Edition, Rev. 2)	1999, an appeal may be taken only after the final rejection of
	tent Owner hereby appeals to the Board from , finally rejecting claims ne item(s) checked below are appropriate:	the decision of the Examiner, mailed
<u></u>	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRA	ANSMISSION (37 C.F.R. 1.8(a))
l hereby	certify that, on the date shown below, this corresponden	
	MAILING	FACSIMILE
sut add	posited with the United States Postal Service with ficient postage as first class mail in an envelope dressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 50, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office. to (571)-273-8300 Signature
Date: 02/13/2008 WASFAW1	February 11, 2008	John Richards (type or print name of person certifying

1.	A Pre-Appeal Brief Req	uest for Review	
	[] is attached as rea [] is not attached	quired therefor	
2.	STATUS OF APPLICA	NT	
	This application is quali	fied as	·
	[x] a small entit		
3.	FEE FOR FILING NOT	CE OF APPEAL	
	The fee for filing the No	tice of Appeal is:	
	[x] a small entit		
		Notice of Appeal fee due \$_	255.00
4.	EXTENSION OF TERM	1	
NO	processing or examination that are taken to reply to a measuring such three-mon case the period of adjustratier the date that is three applicant of the rejection,	an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to end of an application for the cumulative total of any my notice or action by the Office making any rejection the period from the date the notice or action was ment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the numenths after the date of mailing or transmission of objection, argument, or other request and ending or iod, for reply that is set in the Office action or notion."	periods of time in excess of three months on, objection, argument, or other request, nailed or given to the applicant, in which mber of days, if any beginning on the day of the Office communication notifying the on the date the reply was filed. The period,
NO		in 37 C.F.R. 41.31 are subject to the provision of 3 R. 1.645 for extension of time in interference procee proceedings).	
		(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)	
	The proceedings herein	are for a patent application and the prov	isions of 37 C.F.R.1.136 apply.
		etitions for an extension of time under 3 4)) for the total number of months check	·
	Extension (months)	Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
	□ one month	\$ 120.00	\$ 60.00

3

(months)	small entity	<u>sm</u>	all entity
one month	\$ 120.00	\$	60.00
two months	\$ 460.00	\$	230.00
three months	\$ 1,050.00	\$	525.00
four months	\$ 1,630.00	\$	815.00
	Fee \$ <u>525.00</u>	_	

		(check and complete the next item, if applicable)	
		[] An extension formonths has already been secured, and the fee paid therefore of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.	
		Extension fee due with this request \$	
		or	
	(b)	[] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.	
5.	то	TAL FEE DUE	
Th	e tot	al fee due is:	
	Notice of Appeal fee \$ 255.00		
	Ext	tension fee (if any) \$ 525.00 TOTAL FEE DUE \$ _780.00	
6.	FE	E PAYMENT	
	[]	Attached is a check in the sum of \$_780.00. Charge Account No the sum of \$ duplicate of this transmittal is attached.	
7.	FE	E DEFICIENCY OR OVERPAYMENT	
NG	OTE:	If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in resuming the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G 31-33.	
	⊠	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, this is a request therefor and to charge Accoun No. $\underline{12-0425}$.	
		AND/OR	
	×	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 12-0425.	
		AND/OR	
	⋈	Refund any overpayment to Account No. <u>12-0425</u> .	

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

7

Reg. No.: 31,053

Tel. No.: (212)708-1915

Customer No.: 00140

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

JOHN RICHARDS

(type or print name of practitioner)

P.O. Address

c/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61st Street New York, N.Y. 10023